

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 04-7577

CURTIS E. CRAWFORD,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

JOSEPH M. BROOKS,

Respondent - Appellee,

and

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Party in Interest.

No. 04-7578

CURTIS E. CRAWFORD,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

DIRECTOR, FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS; REGIONAL
DIRECTOR FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS; JOSEPH M.
BROOKS, Petersburg FCI Medium,

Respondents - Appellees.

No. 04-7579

CURTIS E. CRAWFORD,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

JOSEPH M. BROOKS, Warden; CHAIRMAN, UNITED
STATES PAROLE COMMISSION; DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF PAROLE,

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of Virginia, at Norfolk. Rebecca Beach Smith, District
Judge. (CA-04-61; CA-03-472; CA-04-59)

Submitted: November 18, 2004

Decided: December 1, 2004

Before LUTTIG and GREGORY, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior
Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Curtis E. Crawford, Appellant Pro Se. George Maralan Kelley, III,
Assistant United States Attorney, Norfolk, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Curtis E. Crawford appeals the district court's orders accepting the recommendations of the magistrate judge and denying as moot his petitions filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2000). We have reviewed the records and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. See Crawford v. Brooks, No. CA-04-61 (E.D. Va. Sept. 21, 2004); Crawford v. Dir., Fed. Bureau of Prisons, No. CA-03-472 (E.D. Va. Sept. 21, 2004); Crawford v. Brooks, No. CA-04-59 (E.D. Va. Sept. 21, 2004). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED